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#### Abstract

This paper introduces the class of slant Toeplitz operators on the Lebesgue space of the torus. A characterization of these operators as the solutions of an operator equation is obtained. The paper describes various algebraic properties of these operators. The compactness, commutativity and essential commutativity of these operators are also discussed.


## 1. Introduction

The study of slant Toeplitz operators emerged with Ho [4], with the study of some elementary properties such as norms, eigen spaces and spectrum together with the discussion of some structural properties of the $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra generated by these operators. If $\phi(\theta)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{n} e^{i n \theta}$ is an $L^{\infty}$ function on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$, an operator $S_{\phi}$ on $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ is said to be a slant Toeplitz operator induced by the symbol $\phi$ if $\left\langle S_{\phi} e^{i n \theta}, e^{i m \theta}\right\rangle=\phi_{2 m-n}$ for all integers $n$ and $m$. The matrix of $S_{\phi}$ with respect to the basis $\left\{e_{n}(\theta)=e^{i n \theta}\right\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ of $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ can be obtained by removing all the odd rows of the corresponding multiplication operator $M_{\phi}$ on $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ given by $\left\langle M_{\phi} e^{i n \theta}, e^{i m \theta}\right\rangle=\phi_{m-n}$.

Slant Toeplitz operators are closely associated with multiplication operators and composition operators. As a matter of fact, each slant Toeplitz operator $S_{\phi}$ can be expressed as $S_{1} M_{\phi}$, where $M_{\phi}$ denotes the multiplication operator on $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$, while the adjoint of a slant Toeplitz operator is a weighted composition operator. Slant Toeplitz operators are linked closely with wavelets, dynamical systems and Ruelle operators. We refer to [4, 5] and the references therein for a detailed study of these operators.

[^0]A lot of progress has taken place in the study of Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space of the bidisk. The semi-commutator of Toeplitz operators on the bidisk is studied in [2], while commuting Hankel and Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space of the bidisk are described in [6]. More recently, a necessary and sufficient condition is obtained for two Toeplitz operators to be commuting on Hardy space of the bidisk [1].

With this paper, we extend in scope the study of slant Toeplitz operators to the usual Lebesgue space of the torus $\mathbb{T}^{2}$, where $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ is the Cartesian product of two copies $\mathbb{T}$ and is a subset of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ (the symbol $\mathbb{C}$ refers to the complex plane). We denote the space of all complex valued measurable functions satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}}|f|^{2} d \sigma<\infty$, where $d \sigma$ is the normalized Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$, by $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, d \sigma\right)$. If there is no confusion about the measure, we simply denote it by $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right) . L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ is a Hilbert space with norm induced by the inner product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}, e^{i \theta_{2}}\right) \bar{g}\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}, e^{i \theta_{2}}\right) d \theta_{1} d \theta_{2}
$$

The set $\left\{e_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}: m_{1}, m_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. The space of essentially bounded measurable functions on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ is denoted by $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$.

We characterize slant Toeplitz operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ as the solutions $X$ of the operator equation $M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}} X=X M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}$, where $i_{1}, i_{2}$ are integers and $M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}}$ and $M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}$ denote the multiplication operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ induced by the symbols $z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}$ and $z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}$ in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ respectively. We also study some structural and algebraic properties of these operators.

Throughout the paper, we use the symbols $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$to denote the set of all integers and the set of all non-negative integers respectively. The multiple Fourier series on the torus $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ can be viewed as the Fourier transformation on $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ (see $[2,6]$ and references therein). Using multiple Fourier series, we have that

$$
L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)=\left\{\left.f\left|f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} f_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}: \sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}\right| f_{m_{1}, m_{2}}\right|^{2}<\infty\right\} .
$$

The space $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ is the collection of all those elements $f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}$ $f_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}$ of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ for which $f_{m_{1}, m_{2}}=0$, if either of the subscripts is negative. Thus, we obtain that for $f \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right), f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \times \mathbb{Z}_{+}} f_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}$ and $\|f\|^{2}=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \times \mathbb{Z}_{+}}\left|f_{m_{1}, m_{2}}\right|^{2}<\infty$. The symbol $\mathfrak{B}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)\right)$ denotes the set of all bounded linear operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, while $\mathcal{R} a n(T)$ denotes the range of an operator T. Two operators $A$ and $B$ are said to commute essentially if $A B-B A$ is a compact operator.

## 2. Some basic properties and characterization of slant Toeplitz OPERATORS

For $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$, the slant Toeplitz operator [4] $S_{\phi}$ on the Hilbert space $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ is defined as $S_{\phi}=W M_{\phi}$, where $W$ is an operator on $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ defined as $W e_{2 n}=e_{n}$
and $W e_{2 n+1}=0$ for each integer $n$. The operator $W$ eliminates the odd rows from the matrix of the multiplication operator $M_{\phi}$. In fact, the operator $W$ coincides with $S_{1}$, the slant Toeplitz operator on $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ induced by the symbol $\phi(z)=1$.

Following a similar approach, we define a linear operator $E$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ as

$$
E z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}= \begin{cases}z_{1}^{\frac{m_{1}}{2}} z_{2}^{\frac{m_{2}}{2}} & \text { if both } m_{1} \text { and } m_{2} \text { are even integers } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that the operator $E$ is a bounded operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ with $\|E\|=1$. The structure of $E$ provides us the following, which can be obtained with simple computations.

Proposition 2.1. For the operator $E$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ as defined above, we have the following.
(1) $E E^{*}=I$ i.e. $E$ is a co-isometry.
(2) $E^{*} E=P_{e e}$, where $P_{e e}$ is the projection of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ onto the closed subspace generated by $\left\{z_{1}^{2 m_{1}} z_{2}^{2 m_{2}}: m_{1}\right.$ and $m_{2}$ are integers $\}$.
(3) $E M_{z_{1} z_{2}} E^{*}=0$.
(4) For $f, g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ such that $f g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right), E(f g)=(E f)(E g)+E[((I-$ $\left.\left.\left.P_{e e}\right) f\right)\left(\left(I-P_{e e}\right) g\right)\right]$. In particular, $E\left(f\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) g\right)=f(E g)$ and $E\left(f g\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)\right)$ $=g(E f)$.
Proposition 2.2. $\mathcal{R} a n\left(P_{2}\right)$ is a reducing subspace of $E$, where $P_{2}$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ onto $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$.
Proof. It is easy to see that $P_{2} E\left(z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}\right)=E P_{2}\left(z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}\right)=$

$$
\begin{cases}z_{1}^{\frac{m_{1}}{2}} z_{2}^{\frac{m_{2}}{2}} & \text { if both } m_{1} \text { and } m_{2} \text { are non-negative even integers } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Hence, $P_{2} E=E P_{2}$. This provides that $\mathcal{R} a n\left(P_{2}\right)$ is a reducing subspace of $E$.
Next, we compute the adjoint of $E$ and obtain the following.
Proposition 2.3. The adjoint of $E$ is an operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ given by $E^{*} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}=$ $z_{1}^{2 m_{1}} z_{2}^{2 m_{2}}$ for each $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. In fact, $E^{*}$ is a composition operator $C_{H}: L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, where $H: \mathbb{T}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{2}$ is given by $H\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)$.
Proof. We have for $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle E^{*}\left(z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}\right), z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}\right\rangle & =\left\langle z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}, E\left(z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}\right)\right\rangle \\
& = \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i_{1}=2 m_{1} \text { and } i_{2}=2 m_{2} \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& =\left\langle z_{1}^{2 m_{1}} z_{2}^{2 m_{2}}, z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, $E^{*} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}=z_{1}^{2 m_{1}} z_{2}^{2 m_{2}}$ for each pair $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $f_{m_{1}, m_{2}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Then, using the definition of a composition operator, we get that $C_{H}\left(z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}\right)=C_{H}\left(f_{m_{1}, m_{2}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)=\left(f_{m_{1}, m_{2}} o H\right)\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=$ $f_{m_{1}, m_{2}}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)=z_{1}^{2 m_{1}} z_{2}^{2 m_{2}}=E^{*}\left(z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}\right)$, for each $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Since the
operators $E$ and $C_{H}$ agree on all basis elements, we conclude that $E=C_{H}$. This completes the proof.

Motivated by the approach of Ho [4], we now proceed to define slant Toeplitz operators on the space $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$.

Definition 2.4. Let $\phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. The slant Toeplitz operator $A_{\phi}$ induced by the symbol $\phi$ is an operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ defined as $A_{\phi} f=E M_{\phi} f$ for each $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, where $M_{\phi}$ denotes the multiplication operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ induced by $\phi$.

It is trivial to see that the slant Toeplitz operator $A_{\phi}$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ induced by the symbol $\phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=1$ is nothing but the operator $E$ defined above.

The operator $A_{\phi}$ is a bounded linear operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ with $\left\|A_{\phi}\right\| \leq\|\phi\|_{\infty}$. Let $\phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}$, then for each $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\phi}\left(z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}\right) & =E\left(\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}+n_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}+n_{2}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{m_{1}-n_{1}, m_{2}-n_{2}} E\left(z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{2 m_{1}-n_{1}, 2 m_{2}-n_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We also obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle A_{\phi}^{*}\left(z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}\right), z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}\right\rangle & =\left\langle z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}, \sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{2 m_{1}-i_{1}, 2 m_{2}-i_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}\right\rangle \\
& =\bar{\phi}_{2 n_{1}-i_{1}, 2 n_{2}-i_{2}} \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\phi}_{2 n_{1}-m_{1}, 2 n_{2}-m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}, z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, so that the adjoint $A_{\phi}^{*}$ of $A_{\phi}$ is given by

$$
A_{\phi}^{*}\left(z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\phi}_{2 n_{1}-m_{1}, 2 n_{2}-m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}} .
$$

Proposition 2.5. Let $\phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Then, $A_{\phi}=0$ if and only if $\phi=0$.
Proof. Nothing needs to be proved in "if" part. For "only if" part, let $A_{\phi}=0$, where $\phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}$. Then, for each $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, $A_{\phi}\left(z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}\right)=0$. The structure of $A_{\phi}$ provides that

$$
\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{2 m_{1}-i_{1}, 2 m_{2}-i_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}=0
$$

Consequently, we have $\phi_{2 m_{1}-i_{1}, 2 m_{2}-i_{2}}=0$ for each $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right),\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Then, substituting $i_{1}=m_{1}$ and $i_{2}=m_{2}$, we obtain that $\phi_{m_{1}, m_{2}}=0$ for each $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we conclude that $\phi=0$. This completes the proof.

It is now straightforward to state our next result.

Theorem 2.6. $\phi \mapsto A_{\phi}$ is a linear one-one correspondence from $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ to $\mathfrak{B}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)\right)$.

It is known that slant Toeplitz operators on $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ are characterized as the solutions $X$ of the operator equation $M_{z} X=X M_{z^{2}}$ (see [4]). We aim to characterize slant Toeplitz operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ in a similar fashion. For this purpose, we shall need the following prerequisites.

Proposition 2.7. For $\phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, we have the following for $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.
(1) $M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}} E=E M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}$.
(2) $M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}} M_{\phi}=M_{\phi} M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}$.

Proof. (1) follows since $M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}} E\left(z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}\right)=E M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}\left(z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}\right)=$

$$
\begin{cases}z_{1}^{\frac{m_{1}}{2}+i_{1}} z_{2}^{\frac{m_{2}}{2}+i_{2}} & \text { if both } m_{1} \text { and } m_{2} \text { are even integers } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

To prove (2), consider $\phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ given as $\phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{m_{1}, m_{2}}$ $z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}$. Then, for each $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, we find that $M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}} M_{\phi}\left(z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}\right)=$ $\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}+n_{1}+2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}+n_{2}+2 i_{2}}=M_{\phi} M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}\left(z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}\right)$. This completes the proof.

We now extend the result of Ho [4] to the slant Toeplitz operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ in the following theorem.
Theorem 2.8. $A \in \mathfrak{B}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)\right)$ is a slant Toeplitz operator if and only if $M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}} A=A M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}$ for all $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. Let $A=A_{\phi}$ be a slant Toeplitz operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Then, $M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}} A_{\phi}=$ $M_{z_{1} i_{1} i_{2} i_{2}} E M_{\phi}=E M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}} M_{\phi}=E M_{\phi} M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}=A_{\phi} M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}$. Hence, $A$ satisfies the operator equation $M_{z_{1} i_{1} i_{1}} A=A M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}$.

Conversely, let $A$ be a bounded operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ satisfying $M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}} A=$ $A M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}$. For any element $f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} f_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A f\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) & =A\left(\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} f_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{2 m_{1}} z_{2}^{2 m_{2}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} f_{m_{1}, m_{2}} A\left(z_{1}^{2 m_{1}} z_{2}^{2 m_{2}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} f_{m_{1}, m_{2}} A M_{z_{1}^{2 m_{1}}} f_{2}^{2 m_{2}}(1) \\
& =\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} f_{m_{1}, m_{2}} M_{z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}} A(1)=f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) A(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Working along similar lines, we obtain that $A\left(z_{1} f\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)\right)=f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) A\left(z_{1}\right)$, $A\left(z_{2} f\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)\right)=f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) A\left(z_{2}\right)$ and $A\left(z_{1} z_{2} f\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)\right)=f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) A\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)$. We
claim that each of the functions $\phi_{00}=A(1), \phi_{10}=A\left(z_{1}\right), \phi_{01}=A\left(z_{2}\right)$ and $\phi_{11}=A\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)$ belongs to $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$.

Observe that for each $i, j \in\{0,1\}$,

$$
\left\|\phi_{i, j} f\right\|=\left\|A\left(z_{1}^{i} z_{2}^{j} f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)\right\| \leq\|A\|\left\|z_{1}^{i} z_{2}^{j} f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right\|=\|A\|\|f\| .
$$

This implies that each $\phi_{i, j}$ induces a bounded multiplication operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Thus each $\phi_{i, j} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ for $i, j \in\{0,1\}$.

Lastly, using these $\phi_{i j}$ 's, we construct a $\phi$ in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ such that $A=A_{\phi}$. Let $\phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\phi_{00}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)+\bar{z}_{1} \phi_{10}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)+\bar{z}_{2} \phi_{01}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)+\bar{z}_{1} \bar{z}_{2} \phi_{11}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)$. Then, $\phi \in$ $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Also, for any $f$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$,

$$
f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=f_{00}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)+z_{1} f_{10}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)+z_{2} f_{01}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)+z_{1} z_{2} f_{11}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

Clearly, $f_{00}, f_{10}, f_{01}$ and $f_{11} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Consider now

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\phi} f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)= & E M_{\phi} f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \\
= & E\left(\phi_{00}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) f_{00}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)+\phi_{10}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) f_{10}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)+\phi_{01}\left(z_{1}^{2},\right.\right. \\
& \left.z_{2}^{2}\right) f_{01}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)+\phi_{11}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) f_{11}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)+\text { terms containing } \\
& \left.z_{1}^{i} z_{2}^{j} \text { in which either } i \text { or } j \text { is odd }\right) \\
= & \phi_{00}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) f_{00}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)+\phi_{10}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) f_{10}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)+\phi_{01}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \\
& f_{01}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)+\phi_{11}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) f_{11}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \\
= & f_{00}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) A(1)+f_{10}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) A\left(z_{1}\right)+f_{01}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) A\left(z_{2}\right)+f_{11} \\
& \left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) A\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right) \\
= & A\left(f_{00}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)+z_{1} f_{10}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)+z_{2} f_{01}\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)+z_{1} z_{2} f_{11}\left(z_{1}^{2},\right.\right. \\
= & \left.\left.z_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\
= & A f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $A=A_{\phi}$ and the proof is complete.
It is straightforward to observe that $M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}}=M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}}^{*}=M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}}^{-1}$ (i.e. $M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}}$ is a unitary operator). Hence, slant Toeplitz operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ can also be characterized in the following manner.
Corollary 2.9. $A$ bounded operator $A$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ is a slant Toeplitz operator if and only if $A=M_{\bar{z}_{1}^{i_{1}} \bar{z}_{2}^{i_{2}}} A M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}$ for all $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.
Theorem 2.10. The set of all slant Toeplitz operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ is weakly closed and hence strongly closed.

Proof. Let $A_{n} \rightarrow A$ weakly, where each $A_{n}$ is a slant Toeplitz operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Then, for $f, g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right),\left\langle A_{n} f, g\right\rangle \rightarrow\langle A f, g\rangle$. This provides that

$$
\left\langle A_{n} z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}} f, z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}} g\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle A z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}} f, z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}} g\right\rangle=\left\langle M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}} A M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}} f, g\right\rangle
$$

Also, since each $A_{n}$ is a slant Toeplitz operator, therefore making use of Corollary 2.9, $M_{\bar{z}_{1}^{i_{1}} \bar{z}_{2}^{i_{2}}} A_{n} M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}=A_{n}$. Thus

Therefore by uniqueness of limits, we obtain that $\langle A f, g\rangle=\left\langle M_{\bar{z}_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{1}}} A M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}} f, g\right\rangle$ for all $f, g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Thus $A=M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{1}}} A M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}$. Therefore, $A$ is a slant Toeplitz operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.11. For $\phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, $A_{\phi}^{*}$ is a slant Toeplitz operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ if and only if $\phi=0$.

Proof. If $\phi=0$, nothing needs to be proved. Conversely, let $A_{\phi}^{*}$ be a slant Toeplitz operator, where $\phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}$. Then, using the characterization of slant Toeplitz operators, we have $M_{z_{1} z_{2}} A_{\phi}^{*}=A_{\phi}^{*} M_{z_{1}^{2} z_{2}^{2}}$. Hence, $\left\langle M_{z_{1} z_{2}} A_{\phi}^{*} z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}, z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}\right\rangle=\left\langle A_{\phi}^{*} M_{z_{1}^{2} z_{2}^{2}} z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}, z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}\right\rangle$ for each $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right),\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times$ $\mathbb{Z}$. The structure of $A_{\phi}^{*}$ provides that

$$
\bar{\phi}_{2 n_{1}-i_{1}+1,2 n_{2}-i_{2}+1}=\bar{\phi}_{2 n_{1}-i_{1}+4,2 n_{2}-i_{2}+4}
$$

for each $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right),\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Once we put $n_{1}=0=n_{2}$, we get that $\bar{\phi}_{-i_{1}+1,-i_{2}+1}=\bar{\phi}_{-i_{1}+4,-i_{2}+4}$ for each $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. This yields that $\phi_{i_{1}, i_{2}}=$ $\phi_{i_{1}+3 m, i_{2}+3 m}$ for each $m \geq 0$ and for each $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right) \subseteq$ $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, therefore $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\phi_{i_{1}+3 m, i_{2}+3 m}\right|^{2}<\infty$ and hence $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left|\phi_{i_{1}+3 m, i_{2}+3 m}\right|=0$. This helps us to conclude that $\phi=0$.

In order to discuss the compactness of slant Toeplitz operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, we first describe compact multiplication operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$.

It is known [3] that the only compact multiplication operator on $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ is the zero operator. We obtain the same for multiplication operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ in the following result.

Lemma 2.12. The multiplication operator $M_{\phi}$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, induced by $\phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, is compact if and only if the inducing symbol $\phi=0$.

Proof. Let $\phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ and $M_{\phi}$ be compact. Since compact operators map weakly convergent sequences to strongly convergent ones, we obtain for each $\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $n_{2} \in \mathbb{Z},\left|\left\langle M_{\phi} z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}, z_{1}^{n_{1}+j_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}+j_{2}}\right\rangle\right| \leq$ $\left\|M_{\phi} z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n_{1} \rightarrow \infty$.

Also, structure of $M_{\phi}$ provides that $\left|\left\langle M_{\phi} z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}, z_{1}^{n_{1}+j_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}+j_{2}}\right\rangle\right|=\mid\left\langle\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}\right.$ $\left.\phi_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}+n_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}+n_{2}}, z_{1}^{n_{1}+j_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}+j_{2}}\right\rangle\left|=\left|\phi_{j_{1}, j_{2}}\right|\right.$. Therefore, we obtain that $\phi_{j_{1}, j_{2}}=0$ for each $\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\phi=0$.

Converse is straightforward.
Now, we investigate the existence of compact slant Toeplitz operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ and obtain the following.

Theorem 2.13. The only compact slant Toeplitz operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ is the zero operator.

Proof. Let $A_{\phi}$, where $\phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, be compact. Then, each operator $A_{\phi} M_{z_{1}^{p}} z_{2}^{q} E^{*}$, for $p$ and $q$ equal to 0 and 1 , is also
compact. Also, for $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $p, q \in\{0,1\}$ we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\phi} M_{z_{1}^{p} z_{2}^{q}} E^{*}\left(z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}\right) & =A_{\phi}\left(z_{1}^{2 i_{1}+p} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}+q}\right) \\
& =E\left(\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}+2 i_{1}+p} z_{2}^{m_{2}+2 i_{2}+q}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{2 m_{1}-p, 2 m_{2}-q} z_{1}^{m_{1}+i_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}+i_{2}} \\
& =M_{\xi_{p, q}}\left(z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi_{p, q}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{2 m_{1}-p, 2 m_{2}-q} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Hence, the operators $M_{\xi_{p, q}}, p, q \in\{0,1\}$ are compact. Making use of Lemma 2.12, we get that for any $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and for each $p, q \in\{0,1\}, \phi_{2 m_{1}-p, 2 m_{2}-q}=0$. This is turn yields that $\phi=0$. Hence, $A_{\phi}=0$ and the proof is complete.

## 3. Algebraic properties

This section aims at the study of some algebraic properties of slant Toeplitz operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. We discuss sums and products of slant Toeplitz operators induced by different symbols. Commutativity and essential commutativity of these operators is also discussed.

Proposition 3.1. The sum of two slant Toeplitz operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ is a slant Toeplitz operator.

Proof. Let $A_{\phi}$ and $A_{\psi}$ be two slant Toeplitz operators induced by symbols $\phi$ and $\psi$ in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ respectively. Then, $M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}}\left(A_{\phi}+A_{\psi}\right)=M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}} A_{\phi}+M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}} A_{\psi}=$ $A_{\phi} M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}+A_{\psi} M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}=\left(A_{\phi}+A_{\psi}\right) M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}$. Hence, the sum $A_{\phi}+A_{\psi}$ is a slant Toeplitz operator.

In fact, $A_{\phi}+A_{\psi}=E M_{\phi}+E M_{\psi}=E M_{\phi+\psi}=A_{\phi+\psi}$, the slant Toeplitz operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ induced by the symbol $\phi+\psi$.

In Proposition 2.7, we proved that $M_{z_{1} i_{1} z_{1}} E=E M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}$. We extend this result and find that for any general $\phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right), M_{\phi} E=E M_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)}$.
Proposition 3.2. Let $\phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Then, $M_{\phi} E=E M_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)}\left(=A_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)}\right)$.
Proof. Let $\phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{n_{1}, n_{2}} z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. For any pair $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain

$$
M_{\phi} E\left(z_{1}^{2 m_{1}} z_{2}^{2 m_{2}}\right)=\sum_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{n_{1}, n_{2}} z_{1}^{n_{1}+m_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}+m_{2}}=E M_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)}\left(z_{1}^{2 m_{1}} z_{2}^{2 m_{2}}\right)
$$

Also, for $(i, j) \in\{(1,0),(0,1),(1,1)\}$,

$$
M_{\phi} E\left(z_{1}^{2 m_{1}+i} z_{2}^{2 m_{2}+j}\right)=0=E M_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)}\left(z_{1}^{2 m_{1}+i} z_{2}^{2 m_{2}+j}\right)
$$

This completes the proof.
Theorem 3.3. Let $\phi, \psi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Then, $M_{\phi} A_{\psi}$ is a slant Toeplitz operator and $M_{\phi} A_{\psi}=A_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi}$.

Proof. We have $M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}}\left(M_{\phi} A_{\psi}\right)=M_{\phi} M_{z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}} A_{\psi}=\left(M_{\phi} A_{\psi}\right) M_{z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}}$. Hence, $M_{\phi} A_{\psi}$ is a slant Toeplitz operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$.

Also, utilizing Proposition 3.2, we obtain that $M_{\phi} A_{\psi}=M_{\phi} E M_{\psi}=E M_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)} M_{\psi}$ $=E M_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi}=A_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi}$.

Theorem 3.4. For $\phi, \psi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right), M_{\phi} A_{\psi}=A_{\psi} M_{\phi}$ if and only if $\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi$ $=\phi \psi$. If $\psi$ is invertible, then $M_{\phi} A_{\psi}=A_{\psi} M_{\phi}$ if and only if $\phi$ is constant.

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, we have $M_{\phi} A_{\psi}=A_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi}$. Also, $A_{\psi} M_{\phi}=E M_{\psi} M_{\phi}=$ $E M_{\phi \psi}=A_{\phi \psi}$.

Now, "only if" part is obvious, while "if" part follows using the injectivity of the mapping $\phi \mapsto A_{\phi}$.

Let $\phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ for $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}$. Let $\psi$ be invertible, then $M_{\phi} A_{\psi}=A_{\psi} M_{\phi}$, equivalently $\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)=\phi$, or $\left\langle\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)-\right.$ $\left.\phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right), z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}\right\rangle=0$ for each $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. But $\left\langle\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)-\phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right), z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}\right\rangle=$ $\begin{cases}\phi_{\frac{i_{1}}{2}, i_{2}}^{2} & \phi_{i_{1}, i_{2}} \\ -\phi_{i_{1}, i_{2}} & \text { if both } i_{1}, i_{2} \text { are even } \\ \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$
Therefore, we obtain that $\phi_{i_{1}, i_{2}}=0$ for each $(0,0) \neq\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ i.e. $\phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=$ $\phi_{00}$ ( a constant).

Hence, for an invertible $\psi, M_{\phi} A_{\psi}=A_{\psi} M_{\phi}$ if and only if $\phi$ is a constant function.

It is evident from Proposition 3.2 that the operators $E$ and $M_{\phi}$ do not commute in general. However, as a consequence of the above theorem, we find that for a constant $\phi, E$ and $M_{\phi}$ commute.

Corollary 3.5. For $\phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right), E M_{\phi}=M_{\phi} E$ if and only if $\phi$ is constant.
We now focus our attention towards the product $A_{\phi} A_{\psi}$ of two slant Toeplitz operators and obtain condition(s) for this product to be a slant Toeplitz operator. For, we first observe the following.

Proposition 3.6. For $\phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, $E A_{\phi}$ is a slant Toeplitz operator if and only if $\phi=0$.

Proof. Let, if possible, $E A_{\phi}=A_{\xi}$ for some $\xi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \xi_{m_{1}, m_{2}}$ $z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Let $\phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Then, for each $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, we have $E A_{\phi}\left(z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}\right)=A_{\xi}\left(z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}\right)$. This provides that $\phi_{4 m_{1}-n_{1}, 4 m_{2}-n_{2}}=\xi_{2 m_{1}-n_{1}, 2 m_{2}-n_{2}}$ for each $m_{1}, m_{2}, n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $m_{1}=m_{2}=0$, we get that $\phi_{n_{1}, n_{2}}=\xi_{n_{1}, n_{2}}$ for each $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Hence, for each $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain that $\phi_{2-n_{1}, 2-n_{2}}=\phi_{4-n_{1}, 4-n_{2}}=$ $\phi_{8-n_{1}, 8-n_{2}}=\cdots$. Since $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left|\phi_{2^{m}-n_{1}, 2^{m}-n_{2}}\right|=0$, so $\phi_{2-n_{1}, 2-n_{2}}=0$ for each $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. This provides that $\phi=0$.

Converse is trivial.
Theorem 3.7. The operator $A_{\phi} A_{\psi}$ is a slant Toeplitz operator if and only if $\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi=0$.

Proof. By the definition of slant Toeplitz operator and using Proposition 3.2, we obtain that $A_{\phi} A_{\psi}=E\left(M_{\phi} E\right) M_{\psi}=E\left(E M_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)}\right) M_{\psi}=E\left(E M_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi}\right)=$ $E A_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi}$. The result now follows using Proposition 3.6.

Next, we discuss the compactness of the product $A_{\phi} A_{\psi}$ of two slant Toeplitz operators $A_{\phi}$ and $A_{\psi}$. We shall require the following.

Lemma 3.8. Let $\phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Then, we have the following.
(1) $A_{1} A_{\phi}=0$ if and only if $\phi=0$.
(2) $A_{1} A_{\phi}$ is compact if and only if $\phi=0$.

Proof. Let $\phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. For (1), let $A_{1} A_{\phi}=$ 0. But, $A_{1} A_{\phi}=E M_{1} E M_{\phi}=E^{2} M_{\phi}$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle A_{1} A_{\phi} z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}, z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}\right\rangle & =\left\langle E^{2} M_{\phi} z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}, z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle A_{\phi} z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}, z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{2 m_{1}-n_{1}, 2 m_{2}-n_{2}} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}, z_{1}^{2 i_{1}} z_{2}^{2 i_{2}}\right\rangle \\
& =\phi_{4 i_{1}-n_{1}, 4 i_{2}-n_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain that $\phi_{4 i_{1}-n_{1}, 4 i_{2}-n_{2}}=0$ for each $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right),\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Substituting $n_{1}=3 i_{1}$ and $n_{2}=3 i_{2}$, we obtain that $\phi_{i_{1}, i_{2}}=0$ for each $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\phi=0$.

Converse is obvious.
For (2), let $A_{1} A_{\phi}$ be compact. Then, we get that for each $p, q \in\{0,1,2,3\}$, the operator $E^{2}\left(A_{1} A_{\phi} M_{z_{1}^{p} z_{2}^{q}}\right)^{*}$ is compact. Now for the case $p=q=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{2}\left(A_{1} A_{\phi} M_{z_{1}^{0} z_{2}^{0}}\right)^{*} z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}=E^{2} M_{\phi}^{*} z_{1}^{4 m_{1}} z_{2}^{4 m_{2}} & =E^{2}\left(\bar{\phi}\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right) z_{1}^{4 m_{1}} z_{2}^{4 m_{2}}\right) \\
& =z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}} E^{2}\left(\bar{\phi}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =M_{E^{2}\left(\bar{\phi}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)}\left(z_{1}^{m_{1}} z_{2}^{m_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, $E^{2}\left(A_{1} A_{\phi}\right)^{*}=M_{E^{2}\left(\bar{\phi}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)}$. Now, the compactness of $E^{2}\left(A_{1} A_{\phi}\right)^{*}$ provides that $M_{E^{2}\left(\bar{\phi}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)}$ is a compact operator and hence $E^{2}\left(\bar{\phi}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)=0$. This provides that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left\langle E^{2}\left(\bar{\phi}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right), z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\phi}_{m_{1}, m_{2}} z_{1}^{-m_{1}} z_{2}^{-m_{2}}, z_{1}^{4 i_{1}} z_{2}^{4 i_{2}}\right\rangle=\bar{\phi}_{-4 i_{1},-4 i_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.
Applying similar computations, we get that $E^{2}\left(A_{1} A_{\phi} M_{z_{1}^{p} z_{2}^{q}}\right)^{*}=M_{E^{2}\left(\bar{z}_{1}^{p} z_{2}^{q} \bar{\phi}\right)}$ and the compactness of these operators helps to provide that $\bar{\phi}_{-4 i_{1}-p,-4 i_{2}-q}=0$ for $(0,0) \neq(p, q) \in\{0,1,2,3\} \times\{0,1,2,3\}$.

Thus $\phi_{m_{1}, m_{2}}=0$ for each $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and hence $\phi=0$.
Nothing needs to be proved in the converse part.
Proposition 3.9. The product $A_{\phi} A_{\psi}$ of two slant Toeplitz operators is 0 if and only if $\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi=0$.

Proof. Since $A_{\phi} A_{\psi}=E A_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi}$, Lemma 3.8 together with the fact that $E=A_{1}$ helps to provide that $A_{\phi} A_{\psi}=0$ if and only if $\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi=0$.

We are now in a position to state the following result. It follows simply by using together Theorem 3.7, Lemma 3.8 and Proposition 3.9.

Theorem 3.10. Let $\phi, \psi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Then, the following are equivalent:
(1) $A_{\phi} A_{\psi}$ is a compact operator.
(2) $A_{\phi} A_{\psi}$ is a slant Toeplitz operator.
(3) $A_{\phi} A_{\psi}=0$.
(4) $\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi=0$.

Remark 3.11. The following are now easy to obtain:
(1) A non-zero slant Toeplitz operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ can not be idempotent.
(2) The product of two slant Toeplitz operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ can not be a nonzero slant Toeplitz operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$.

Lastly, we obtain that the notions of commutativity and essential commutativity coincide for slant Toeplitz operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$.

Theorem 3.12. The following are equivalent:
(1) $A_{\phi}$ and $A_{\psi}$ commute.
(2) $A_{\phi}$ and $A_{\psi}$ essentially commute.
(3) $\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi-\psi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \phi=0$.

Proof. We shall first prove that (1) and (3) are equivalent. We obtain that $A_{\phi} A_{\psi}=A_{\psi} A_{\phi}$ if and only if $E A_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi}=E A_{\psi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \phi}$. This is equivalent to $E\left(A_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi-\psi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \phi}\right)=0$.

Utilizing Lemma 3.8, we obtain that $A_{\phi} A_{\psi}=A_{\psi} A_{\phi}$ if and only if $\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi$ $-\psi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \phi=0$.

The equivalence of (2) and (3) follows using Lemma 3.8 since $A_{\phi} A_{\psi}-A_{\psi} A_{\phi}=$ $E\left(A_{\phi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \psi-\psi\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \phi}\right)$ and $E=A_{1}$.
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