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# SHARP BOUNDS FOR THE SECOND HANKEL DETERMINANT OF LOGARITHMIC COEFFICIENTS FOR PARABOLIC STARLIKE AND UNIFORMLY CONVEX FUNCTIONS OF ORDER $\alpha$ 

SERAP BULUT<br>Communicated by S. Hejazian

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of analytic functions $f$ in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ normalized by $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$, and let $\mathcal{S}$ be the class of all functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ that are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. For a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$, the logarithmic coefficients $\delta_{n}(n=1,2,3, \ldots)$ are defined by

$$
\log \frac{f(z)}{z}=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

For $0 \leq \alpha<1$, let $\mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{U C} \mathcal{V}(\alpha)$ denote the classes of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right|<(1-2 \alpha)+\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

and

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|<2(1-\alpha)+\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

respectively. In the present paper, we determine the sharp upper bound for $\left|\delta_{n}\right| \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots)$ of functions $f$ belonging to the classes $\mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$. Also, we obtain upper bounds for $\left|\delta_{n}\right| \quad(n=1,2,3)$ of functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{U C V}(\alpha)$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}=(-\infty, \infty)$ be the set of real numbers, let $\mathbb{C}$ be the set of complex numbers, and let

$$
\mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}=\mathbb{N}_{0} \backslash\{0\}
$$

be the set of positive integers.
Assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is the class of analytic functions in the open unit disc

$$
\mathbb{U}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\},
$$

and let the class $\mathcal{P}$ be defined by

$$
\mathcal{P}=\{p \in \mathcal{H}: p(0)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \Re(p(z))>0(z \in \mathbb{U})\}
$$

For two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$, we say that the function $f$ is subordinate to $g$ in $\mathbb{U}$ and write

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

if there exists a Schwarz function

$$
\omega \in \Omega:=\{\omega \in \mathcal{H}: \omega(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad|\omega(z)|<1(z \in \mathbb{U})\}
$$

such that

$$
f(z)=g(\omega(z)) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

Indeed, it is known that

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \Rightarrow f(0)=g(0) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})
$$

Furthermore, if the function $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then we have the following equivalence relation:

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \Leftrightarrow f(0)=g(0) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}) .
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of functions $f$ normalized by

$$
f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0 .
$$

Each function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the class of all functions in the normalized analytic function class $\mathcal{A}$ that are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.

Definition 1.1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ if it satisfies the condition

$$
\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

We say that $f$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ for such functions.
In particular, we set $\mathcal{S}^{*}(0)=\mathcal{S}^{*}$ for the class of starlike functions in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}$. Recall that $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}$.

Definition 1.2 ([5]). A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be parabolic starlike of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ if and only if

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right|<(1-2 \alpha)+\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

We say that $f$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$ for such functions.
Equivalently,

$$
f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \in \Omega_{\alpha} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

where $\Omega_{\alpha}$ denotes the parabolic region in the right half-plane
$\Omega_{\alpha}=\left\{w=u+i v: v^{2}<4(1-\alpha)(u-\alpha)\right\}=\{w:|w-1|<(1-2 \alpha)+\Re(w)\}$.
From its definition, it is clear that the class $\mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$ is contained in the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$.
Definition 1.3 ([15]). A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be uniformly convex of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ if and only if

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|<2(1-\alpha)+\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

We say that $f$ is in the class $\mathcal{U C V}(\alpha)$ for such functions.
Lee [15] showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \mathcal{U C} \mathcal{V}(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow z f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we set $\mathcal{S}_{p}(1 / 2)=\mathcal{S}_{p}$ for the class of parabolic starlike functions introduced by Ronning [23], and $\mathcal{U C V}(1 / 2)=\mathcal{U C V}$ for the class of uniformly convex functions.

Ali and Singh [5] showed that the normalized Riemann mapping function $q_{\alpha}(z)$ from the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ onto $\Omega_{\alpha}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha}(z)=1+\frac{4(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}\left[\log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right]^{2}:=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The branch of $\sqrt{z}$ is chosen such that $\Im \sqrt{z} \geq 0$. Using the expansion of

$$
\log (1+z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right]^{2}=4 z+\frac{8}{3} z^{2}+\frac{92}{45} z^{3}+\cdots \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above equalities (1.3) and (1.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha}(z)=1+\frac{16(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}} z+\frac{32(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}} z^{2}+\frac{368(1-\alpha)}{45 \pi^{2}} z^{3}+\cdots=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}=\frac{16(1-\alpha)}{n \pi^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2 j+1} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.4 ([16]). If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$, then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_{\alpha}(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

where $q_{\alpha}$ is given in (1.3).
For a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$, given by (1.1), the logarithmic coefficients $\delta_{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{f}(z):=\log \frac{f(z)}{z}=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and play a central role in the theory of univalent functions. Note that, by differentiating (1.7) and equating coefficients, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta_{1}=\frac{1}{2} a_{2}  \tag{1.8}\\
\delta_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{3}-\frac{1}{2} a_{2}^{2}\right),  \tag{1.9}\\
\delta_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}+\frac{1}{3} a_{2}^{3}\right) . \tag{1.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

For the whole class $\mathcal{S}$, the sharp estimates of single logarithmic coefficients are known only for $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$, namely,

$$
\left|\delta_{1}\right| \leq 1, \quad\left|\delta_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{e^{2}}=0,635 \ldots
$$

and are unknown for $n \geq 3$.
So it is natural to ask the sharp estimates of $\left|\delta_{n}\right|(n \in \mathbb{N})$ for functions belonging to the subclasses of univalent function class $\mathcal{S}$. One of the main purpose of this paper is to determine the sharp upper bound for $\left|\delta_{n}\right|(n \in \mathbb{N})$ of the function $f$ belonging to the class $\mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$. Some recent works on logarithmic coefficients can be found in $[2,4,17]$.

On the other hand, one of the important tools in the theory of univalent functions are the Hankel determinants, which are used, for example, in showing that a function of bounded characteristic in $\mathbb{U}$, that is, a function that is a ratio of two bounded analytic functions, with its Laurent series around the origin having integral coefficients, is rational [8].

For integers $n, q \in \mathbb{N}$, Noonan and Thomas [19] defined the $q$ th Hankel determinant $H_{q, n}(f)$ of $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (1.1) by

$$
H_{q, n}(f)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{n} & a_{n+1} & \ldots & a_{n+q-1} \\
a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \ldots & a_{n+q} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \ldots & a_{n+2 q-2}
\end{array}\right| \quad\left(a_{1}=1\right)
$$

Note that

$$
H_{2,1}(f)=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & a_{2} \\
a_{2} & a_{3}
\end{array}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad H_{2,2}(f)=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{3} & a_{4}
\end{array}\right|
$$

where the Hankel determinants $H_{2,1}(f)=a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}$ and $H_{2,2}(f)=a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}$ are well known as Fekete-Szegö and the second Hankel determinant functionals, respectively. Furthermore, Fekete and Szegö [12] introduced the generalized functional $a_{3}-\lambda a_{2}^{2}$, where $\lambda$ is some real number. Problems in this field have also been argued by several authors (see, for example, $[1,6,7,10,13,18,21]$ ).

Very recently, Kowalczyk and Lecko [14] introduced the Hankel determinant $H_{q, n}\left(\frac{F_{f}}{2}\right)$, which entries are logarithmic coefficients of $f$, that is,

$$
H_{q, n}\left(\frac{F_{f}}{2}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\delta_{n} & \delta_{n+1} & \ldots & \delta_{n+q-1} \\
\delta_{n+1} & \delta_{n+2} & \ldots & \delta_{n+q} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\delta_{n+q-1} & \delta_{n+q} & \ldots & \delta_{n+2 q-2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the upper bound of

$$
H_{2,1}\left(\frac{F_{f}}{2}\right)=\delta_{1} \delta_{3}-\delta_{2}^{2}
$$

and of logarithmic coefficients $\delta_{n}$ for functions belonging to the classes $\mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{U C V}(\alpha)$.

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

Throughout this paper, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+c_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.1 ([20]). Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be given by (2.1). Then

$$
\left|c_{n}\right| \leq 2 \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

Lemma 2.2 ([21]). Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be given by (2.1). Then for any complex number $\nu$

$$
\left|c_{2}-\nu c_{1}^{2}\right| \leq 2 \max \{1,|2 \nu-1|\}
$$

and the result is sharp for the functions given by

$$
p(z)=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad p(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}
$$

Lemma 2.3 ([16]). Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be given by (2.1). Then

$$
\left|c_{2}-\nu c_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}-4 \nu+2, & \nu \leq 0 \\ 2, & 0 \leq \nu \leq 1 \\ 4 \nu-2, & \nu \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

When $\nu<0$ or $\nu>1$, the equality holds if and only if $p(z)$ is $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ or one of its rotations. If $0<\nu<1$, then the equality holds if and only if $p(z)$ is $\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}$ or one of its rotations. If $\nu=0$, then the equality holds if and only if

$$
p(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \eta\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \eta\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad(0 \leq \eta \leq 1)
$$

or one of its rotations. If $\nu=1$, then the equality holds if and only if $p(z)$ is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that the equality holds in the case when $\nu=0$.

Although the above upper bound is sharp, in the case when $0<\nu<1$, it can be further improved as follows:

$$
\left|c_{2}-\nu c_{1}^{2}\right|+\nu\left|c_{1}\right|^{2} \leq 2 \quad\left(0<\nu \leq \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left|c_{2}-\nu c_{1}^{2}\right|+(1-\nu)\left|c_{1}\right|^{2} \leq 2 \quad\left(\frac{1}{2}<\nu \leq 1\right)
$$

Lemma 2.4 ([9]). If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is of the form (2.1) with $c_{1} \geq 0$, then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c_{1}=2 \zeta_{1},  \tag{2.2}\\
c_{2}=2 \zeta_{1}^{2}+2\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right) \zeta_{2}, \\
c_{3}=2 \zeta_{1}^{3}+4\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right) \zeta_{1} \zeta_{2}-2\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right) \zeta_{1} \zeta_{2}^{2}+2\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some $\zeta_{1} \in[0,1]$ and $\zeta_{2}, \zeta_{3} \in \overline{\mathbb{U}}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leq 1\}$.
For $\zeta_{1} \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\zeta_{2} \in \partial \mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$, there is a unique function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ as in (2.2), namely,

$$
p(z)=\frac{1+\left(\overline{\zeta_{1}} \zeta_{2}+\zeta_{1}\right) z+\zeta_{2} z^{2}}{1+\left(\overline{\zeta_{1}} \zeta_{2}-\zeta_{1}\right) z-\zeta_{2} z^{2}} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

Lemma 2.5 ([22]). Let the function $\mathfrak{h}$ given by

$$
\mathfrak{h}(z)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{h}_{k} z^{k} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

be subordinate to the function $\mathfrak{H}$ given by

$$
\mathfrak{H}(z)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{H}_{k} z^{k} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

If $\mathfrak{H}(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{U})$ is convex, then

$$
\left|\mathfrak{h}_{k}\right| \leq\left|\mathfrak{H}_{1}\right| \quad(k \in \mathbb{N}) .
$$

Lemma 2.6 ([10]). Given real numbers $A, B, C$, let

$$
Y(A, B, C):=\max _{z \in \overline{\mathbb{U}}}\left(\left|A+B z+C z^{2}\right|+1-|z|^{2}\right) .
$$

I. If $A C \geq 0$, then

$$
Y(A, B, C)= \begin{cases}|A|+|B|+|C|, & |B| \geq 2(1-|C|) \\ 1+|A|+\frac{B^{2}}{4(1-|C|)}, & |B|<2(1-|C|)\end{cases}
$$

II. If $A C<0$, then
$Y(A, B, C)= \begin{cases}1-|A|+\frac{B^{2}}{4(1-|C|)}, & -4 A C\left(C^{-2}-1\right) \leq B^{2} \wedge|B|<2(1-|C|), \\ 1+|A|+\frac{B^{2}}{4(1+|C|)}, & B^{2}<\min \left\{4(1+|C|)^{2},-4 A C\left(C^{-2}-1\right)\right\}, \\ R(A, B, C), & \text { otherwise, }\end{cases}$ where

$$
R(A, B, C)= \begin{cases}|A|+|B|-|C|, & |C|(|B|+4|A|) \leq|A B| \\ -|A|+|B|+|C|, & |A B| \leq|C|(|B|-4|A|) \\ (|A|+|C|) \sqrt{1-\frac{B^{2}}{4 A C}} & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 2.7 ([3]). Let $\varphi$ be an analytic univalent function in the unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ satisfying $\varphi(0)=1$ such that it has series expansion of the form

$$
\varphi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\cdots, \quad B_{1} \neq 0
$$

If $\varphi$ is convex and the function $f$ given by (1.1) satisfies the subordination

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

then the logarithmic coefficients $\delta_{n}$ of $f$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\left|\delta_{n}\right| \leq \frac{\left|B_{1}\right|}{2 n} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

## 3. The class $\mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$

### 3.1. The logarithmic coefficients.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ be given by (1.1) and let the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (1.7). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{n}\right| \leq \frac{8(1-\alpha)}{n \pi^{2}} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N}) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a function $f_{n}$ given by

$$
\frac{z f_{n}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{n}(z)}=q_{\alpha}\left(z^{n}\right) \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

such that the each equality in (3.1) is sharp.
Proof. The proof is easily obtained from Lemma 2.7.
Corollary 3.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}$ be given by (1.1) and let the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (1.7). Then

$$
\left|\delta_{n}\right| \leq \frac{4}{n \pi^{2}} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

The result is sharp.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ be given by (1.1) and let the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (1.7). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left|\delta_{2}-\mu \delta_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}} \mu\right), & \mu \leq-\frac{\pi^{2}}{48(1-\alpha)}, \\
\frac{4(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}, & -\frac{\pi^{2}}{48(1-\alpha)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{5 \pi^{2}}{48(1-\alpha)}, \\
-\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}} \mu\right), & \mu \geq \frac{5 \pi^{2}}{48(1-\alpha)} .\end{cases} \\
& \text { If }-\frac{\pi^{2}}{48(1-\alpha)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{\pi^{2}}{24(1-\alpha)}, \text { then } \\
& \qquad\left|\delta_{2}-\mu \delta_{1}^{2}\right|+\left(\mu+\frac{\pi^{2}}{48(1-\alpha)}\right)\left|\delta_{1}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, if $\frac{\pi^{2}}{24(1-\alpha)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{5 \pi^{2}}{48(1-\alpha)}$, then

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\mu \delta_{1}^{2}\right|+\left(\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{48(1-\alpha)}-\mu\right)\left|\delta_{1}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}
$$

Each of these results is sharp.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$. By the subordination principle and Lemma 1.4, there exists the Schwarz's function $u(z)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z):=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=q_{\alpha}(u(z)) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
F(z)=1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+b_{3} z^{3}+\cdots,
$$

then the first equality in (3.2) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=b_{1}, \quad a_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{2}+b_{1}^{2}\right), \quad a_{4}=\frac{1}{3}\left(b_{3}+\frac{3}{2} b_{1} b_{2}+\frac{1}{2} b_{1}^{3}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $q_{\alpha}$ is univalent in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}$, by (3.2), the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z):=\frac{1+u(z)}{1-u(z)}=\frac{1+q_{\alpha}^{-1}(F(z))}{1-q_{\alpha}^{-1}(F(z))}=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+c_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to the class $\mathcal{P}$. Solving $u(z)$ in terms of $p(z)$ in (3.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left[c_{1} z+\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{4}\right) z^{3}+\cdots\right] . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (3.2), using (3.5) in (1.5), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+b_{1} z+ & b_{2} z^{2}+b_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \\
= & 1+\frac{1}{2} D_{1} c_{1} z+\left\{\frac{1}{2} D_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} D_{2} c_{1}^{2}\right\} z^{2} \\
& +\left\{\frac{1}{2} D_{1}\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{4}\right)+\frac{1}{2} D_{2} c_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{8} D_{3} c_{1}^{3}\right\} z^{3}+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating the coefficients in the above equalities and considering (1.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}} c_{1}, \quad b_{2}=\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{6}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{3}=\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}\left(c_{3}-\frac{1}{3} c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{2}{45} c_{1}^{3}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.3) in (3.6) and (3.7), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2}= & \frac{8(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}} c_{1},  \tag{3.8}\\
a_{3}= & \frac{8(1-\alpha)}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[c_{2}-\left(\frac{1}{6}-\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}\right) c_{1}^{2}\right],  \tag{3.9}\\
a_{4}= & \frac{8(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}}\left[c_{3}-\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{12(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}\right) c_{1} c_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{2}{45}-\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{32(1-\alpha)^{2}}{\pi^{4}}\right) c_{1}^{3}\right] . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\delta_{1}$, from (1.8) and (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1}=\frac{4(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}} c_{1} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\delta_{2}$, substituting for $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ from (3.8) and (3.9) in (1.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2}=\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}\left(c_{2}-\frac{1}{6} c_{1}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, from (1.8) and (3.8) - (3.10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{3}=\frac{4(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}}\left(c_{3}-\frac{1}{3} c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{2}{45} c_{1}^{3}\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (3.11) and (3.12), we get

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\mu \delta_{1}^{2}\right|=\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}\left|c_{2}-\nu c_{1}^{2}\right|, \quad \nu=\frac{1}{6}+\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}} \mu .
$$

The assertion of Theorem 3.3 now follows by an application of Lemma 2.3.
To show that the bounds asserted by Theorem 3.3 are sharp, we define the following functions:

$$
K_{n}(z) \quad(n=2,3, \ldots),
$$

by

$$
K_{n}(0)=0=K_{n}^{\prime}(0)-1,
$$

and

$$
\frac{z K_{n}^{\prime}(z)}{K_{n}(z)}=q_{\alpha}\left(z^{n-1}\right)
$$

and the functions $F_{\eta}(z)$ and $G_{\eta}(z)(0 \leq \eta \leq 1)$ by

$$
F_{\eta}(0)=0=F_{\eta}^{\prime}(0)-1 \quad \text { and } \quad G_{\eta}(0)=0=G_{\eta}^{\prime}(0)-1,
$$

$$
\frac{z F_{\eta}^{\prime}(z)}{F_{\eta}(z)}=q_{\alpha}\left(\frac{z(z+\eta)}{1+\eta z}\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{z G_{\eta}^{\prime}(z)}{G_{\eta}(z)}=q_{\alpha}\left(-\frac{z(z+\eta)}{1+\eta z}\right),
$$

respectively. Then, clearly, the functions $K_{n}, F_{\eta}, G_{\eta} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$. We also write $K=K_{2}$. If $\mu<-\frac{\pi^{2}}{48(1-\alpha)}$ or $\mu>\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{48(1-\alpha)}$, then the equality of Theorem 3.3 holds if and only if $f$ is $K$ or one of its rotations. When $-\frac{\pi^{2}}{48(1-\alpha)}<\mu<\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{48(1-\alpha)}$, then the equality holds if and only if $f$ is $K_{3}$ or one of its rotations. If $\mu=-\frac{\pi^{2}}{48(1-\alpha)}$, then the equality holds if and only if $f$ is $F_{\eta}$ or one of its rotations. If $\mu=\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{48(1-\alpha)}$, then the equality holds if and only if $f$ is $G_{\eta}$ or one of its rotations.
Corollary 3.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}$ be given by (1.1) and let the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (1.7). Then

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\mu \delta_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{4}{\pi^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \mu\right), & \mu \leq-\frac{\pi^{2}}{24} \\ \frac{2}{\pi^{2}}, & -\frac{\pi^{2}}{24} \leq \mu \leq \frac{5 \pi^{2}}{24} \\ -\frac{4}{\pi^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \mu\right), & \mu \geq \frac{5 \pi^{2}}{24}\end{cases}
$$

If $-\frac{\pi^{2}}{24} \leq \mu \leq \frac{\pi^{2}}{12}$, then

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\mu \delta_{1}^{2}\right|+\left(\mu+\frac{\pi^{2}}{24}\right)\left|\delta_{1}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{2}{\pi^{2}}
$$

Furthermore, if $\frac{\pi^{2}}{12} \leq \mu \leq \frac{5 \pi^{2}}{24}$, then

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\mu \delta_{1}^{2}\right|+\left(\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{24}-\mu\right)\left|\delta_{1}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{2}{\pi^{2}}
$$

Each of these results is sharp.
Theorem 3.5. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$ be given by (1.1) and let the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (1.7). Then for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$
\chi(\mu)=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{16(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}} \mu,
$$

we have

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\mu \delta_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{4}}\left|24(1-\alpha) \mu-\pi^{2}\right|, & |\chi(\mu)-1| \geq 1 \\ \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}, & |\chi(\mu)-1| \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. From (1.8), (3.8) and (3.12), we get

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\mu \delta_{1}^{2}\right|=\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}\left|c_{2}-\nu c_{1}^{2}\right|, \quad \nu=\frac{1}{6}+\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}} \mu
$$

for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. The desired result is obtained from Lemma 2.2.

Corollary 3.6. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}$ be given by (1.1) and let the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (1.7). Then for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$
\chi(\mu)=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} \mu
$$

we have

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\mu \delta_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{4}{3 \pi^{4}}\left|12 \mu-\pi^{2}\right|, & |\chi(\mu)-1| \geq 1 \\ \frac{2}{\pi^{2}}, & |\chi(\mu)-1| \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

### 3.2. Second Hankel determinant.

Theorem 3.7. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ be given by (1.1) and let the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (1.7). Then

$$
\left|\delta_{1} \delta_{3}-\delta_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{16(1-\alpha)^{2}}{\pi^{4}}
$$

The inequality is sharp.
Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$ is given by (1.1). By using (3.11) - (3.13) and Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{1} \delta_{3}-\delta_{2}^{2}= & \frac{4(1-\alpha)^{2}}{\pi^{4}}\left[\frac{17}{540} c_{1}^{4}-\frac{1}{9} c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+\frac{4}{3} c_{1} c_{3}-c_{2}^{2}\right] \\
= & \frac{16(1-\alpha)^{2}}{3 \pi^{4}}\left[\frac{32}{45} \zeta_{1}^{4}+\frac{4}{3}\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right) \zeta_{1}^{2} \zeta_{2}-\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\zeta_{1}^{2}+3\right) \zeta_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+4\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{1} \zeta_{3}\right] \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

(a) Firstly suppose that $\zeta_{1}=1$. Then by (3.14), we have

$$
\left|\delta_{1} \delta_{3}-\delta_{2}^{2}\right|=\frac{512}{135 \pi^{4}}(1-\alpha)^{2}
$$

(b) Now, suppose that $\zeta_{1}=0$. Then by (3.14),

$$
\left|\delta_{1} \delta_{3}-\delta_{2}^{2}\right|=\frac{16(1-\alpha)^{2}}{\pi^{4}}\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{16(1-\alpha)^{2}}{\pi^{4}}
$$

(c) Finally, suppose that $\zeta_{1} \in(0,1)$. By the fact that $\left|\zeta_{3}\right| \leq 1$, from (3.14), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\delta_{1} \delta_{3}-\delta_{2}^{2}\right| \leq & \frac{64(1-\alpha)^{2}}{3 \pi^{4}} \zeta_{1}\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& \times\left[\left|\frac{8 \zeta_{1}^{3}}{45\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right)}+\frac{\zeta_{1} \zeta_{2}}{3}-\frac{\left(\zeta_{1}^{2}+3\right) \zeta_{2}^{2}}{4 \zeta_{1}}\right|+1-\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}\right] \\
= & \frac{64(1-\alpha)^{2}}{3 \pi^{4}} \zeta_{1}\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right)\left[\left|A+B \zeta_{2}+C \zeta_{2}^{2}\right|+1-\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
A:=\frac{8 \zeta_{1}^{3}}{45\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right)}, \quad B:=\frac{\zeta_{1}}{3}, \quad C:=-\frac{\zeta_{1}^{2}+3}{4 \zeta_{1}}
$$

Since $A C<0$, we apply Lemma 2.6 only for the case II.
(c.1) The inequality

$$
-4 A C\left(\frac{1}{C^{2}}-1\right)-B^{2}=\frac{8 \zeta_{1}^{2}\left(\zeta_{1}^{2}+3\right)}{45\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{16 \zeta_{1}^{2}}{\left(\zeta_{1}^{2}+3\right)^{2}}-1\right)-\frac{\zeta_{1}^{2}}{9} \leq 0
$$

is equivalent to $-\zeta_{1}^{4}+30 \zeta_{1}^{2}-29 \leq 0$, which evidently holds for $\zeta_{1} \in(0,1)$. Moreover, the inequality $|B|<2(1-|C|)$ is equivalent to $\frac{5}{3} \zeta_{1}^{2}-4 \zeta_{1}+3<0$, which is false for $\zeta_{1} \in(0,1)$.
(c.2) Since

$$
4(1+|C|)^{2}=\frac{\left(\zeta_{1}+1\right)^{2}\left(\zeta_{1}+3\right)^{2}}{4 \zeta_{1}^{2}}>0
$$

and

$$
-4 A C\left(\frac{1}{C^{2}}-1\right)=\frac{8 \zeta_{1}^{2}\left(\zeta_{1}^{2}-9\right)}{45\left(\zeta_{1}^{2}+3\right)}<0,
$$

we see that the inequality

$$
\frac{\zeta_{1}^{2}}{9}<\min \left\{\frac{\left(\zeta_{1}+1\right)^{2}\left(\zeta_{1}+3\right)^{2}}{4 \zeta_{1}^{2}}, \frac{8 \zeta_{1}^{2}\left(\zeta_{1}^{2}-9\right)}{45\left(\zeta_{1}^{2}+3\right)}\right\}=\frac{8 \zeta_{1}^{2}\left(\zeta_{1}^{2}-9\right)}{45\left(\zeta_{1}^{2}+3\right)}
$$

is false for $\zeta_{1} \in(0,1)$.
(c.3) The inequality

$$
|C|(|B|+4|A|)-|A B|=\frac{\left(\zeta_{1}^{2}+3\right)}{12}\left(1+\frac{32 \zeta_{1}^{2}}{15\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right)}\right)-\frac{8 \zeta_{1}^{4}}{135\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right)} \leq 0
$$

is equivalent to

$$
19 \zeta_{1}^{4}+198 \zeta_{1}^{2}+135 \leq 0
$$

which is false for $\zeta_{1} \in(0,1)$.
(c.4) We get

$$
|A B|-|C|(|B|-4|A|)=\frac{173 \zeta_{1}^{4}+378 \zeta_{1}^{2}-135}{540\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right)}:=\frac{173 s^{2}+378 s-135}{540(1-s)}
$$

where $s=\zeta_{1}^{2} \in(0,1)$. The equation $173 s^{2}+378 s-135=0$ has a positive unique root such that

$$
0<s_{1}=\frac{-189+6 \sqrt{1641}}{173}<1
$$

In other words, for $\zeta_{1}^{*}=\sqrt{s_{1}}$, we have $|A B|-|C|(|B|-4|A|)=0$. Furthermore, $|A B| \leq|C|(|B|-4|A|)$ when $\zeta_{1} \in\left(0, \zeta_{1}^{*}\right]$ and $|A B| \geq|C|(|B|-4|A|)$ when $\zeta_{1} \in\left[\zeta_{1}^{*}, 1\right)$.

- For $\zeta_{1} \in\left(0, \zeta_{1}^{*}\right]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\delta_{1} \delta_{3}-\delta_{2}^{2}\right| & \leq \frac{64(1-\alpha)^{2}}{3 \pi^{4}} \zeta_{1}\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right)(-|A|+|B|+|C|) \\
& =\frac{16(1-\alpha)^{2}}{135 \pi^{4}}\left[-137 \zeta_{1}^{4}-30 \zeta_{1}^{2}+135\right] \\
& =\chi\left(\zeta_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\chi^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{1}\right)=-\frac{64(1-\alpha)^{2}}{135 \pi^{4}} \zeta_{1}\left[137 \zeta_{1}^{2}+15\right]<0
$$

for $\zeta_{1} \in\left(0, \zeta_{1}^{*}\right], \chi$ is a decreasing function on $\left(0, \zeta_{1}^{*}\right]$. This implies that

$$
\left|\delta_{1} \delta_{3}-\delta_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \chi(0)=\frac{16(1-\alpha)^{2}}{\pi^{4}}
$$

- For $\zeta_{1} \in\left[\zeta_{1}^{*}, 1\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\delta_{1} \delta_{3}-\delta_{2}^{2}\right| & \leq \frac{64(1-\alpha)^{2}}{3 \pi^{4}} \zeta_{1}\left(1-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right)(|A|+|C|) \sqrt{1-\frac{B^{2}}{4 A C}} \\
& =\frac{16(1-\alpha)^{2}}{135 \pi^{4}}\left[-13 \zeta_{1}^{4}-90 \zeta_{1}^{2}+135\right] \sqrt{\frac{3 \zeta_{1}^{2}+29}{8\left(\zeta_{1}^{2}+3\right)}} \\
& =\psi\left(\zeta_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{1}\right)= & -\frac{16(1-\alpha)^{2}}{135 \pi^{4}} \zeta_{1} \\
& \times\left[2\left(13 \zeta_{1}^{2}+45\right) \sqrt{\frac{3 \zeta_{1}^{2}+29}{2\left(\zeta_{1}^{2}+3\right)}}+5 \frac{-13 \zeta_{1}^{4}-90 \zeta_{1}^{2}+135}{\left(\zeta_{1}^{2}+3\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2\left(\zeta_{1}^{2}+3\right)}{3 \zeta_{1}^{2}+29}}\right]<0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\zeta_{1} \in\left[\zeta_{1}^{*}, 1\right), \psi$ is a decreasing function on $\left[\zeta_{1}^{*}, 1\right)$. This implies that

$$
\left|\delta_{1} \delta_{3}-\delta_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \psi\left(\zeta_{1}\right) \leq \psi\left(\zeta_{1}^{*}\right)=\chi\left(\zeta_{1}^{*}\right) \leq \chi(0)=\frac{16(1-\alpha)^{2}}{\pi^{4}}
$$

Summarizing parts (a)-(c), it follows the desired inequality. Equality holds for the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=q_{\alpha}\left(z^{2}\right) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

for which $a_{2}=a_{4}=0$ and $a_{3}=\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}$.
Corollary 3.8. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}$ be given by (1.1) and let the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (1.7). Then we have

$$
\left|\delta_{1} \delta_{3}-\delta_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{4}{\pi^{4}}
$$

The inequality is sharp.
3.3. The coefficients of the inverse function. Since univalent functions are one-to-one, they are invertible and the inverse functions need not to be defined on the entire unit disk $\mathbb{U}$. In fact, the Koebe one-quarter theorem [11] ensures that the image of $\mathbb{U}$ under every univalent function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ contains a disk of radius $1 / 4$. Thus every function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$, which is defined by

$$
f^{-1}(f(z))=z \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

and

$$
f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w \quad\left(|w|<r_{0}(f) ; r_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right) .
$$

In fact, for a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1) the inverse function $f^{-1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{-1}(w) & =w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots \\
& =: w+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n} w^{n} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3.9. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ be given by (1.1), and let $f^{-1}$ be the inverse function of $f$ defined by (3.15). Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|A_{2}\right| \leq \frac{16(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}} \\
\left|A_{3}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{16(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{4}}\left[72(1-\alpha)-\pi^{2}\right], & 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1-\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{144} \\
\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}, & 1-\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{144} \leq \alpha<1\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

and for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

$$
\left|A_{3}-\lambda A_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{16(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{4}}\left|48(1-\alpha) \lambda-72(1-\alpha)+\pi^{2}\right|, & |h(\lambda)-1| \geq 1 \\ \frac{8(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}, & |h(\lambda)-1| \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
h(\lambda)=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{48(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}-\lambda \frac{32(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}
$$

Proof. Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$, and let $f^{-1}$ be the inverse function of $f$ defined by (3.15). Then using (3.8)-(3.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{2}=-a_{2}=-\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}} c_{1} \\
A_{3}=2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}=\left(\frac{96(1-\alpha)^{2}}{\pi^{4}}+\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}}\right) c_{1}^{2}-\frac{4(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}} c_{2} \\
=-\frac{4(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}\left[c_{2}-\left(\frac{1}{6}+\frac{24(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}\right) c_{1}^{2}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
A_{3}-\lambda A_{2}^{2}=-\frac{4(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}\left[c_{2}-\left(\frac{1}{6}+\frac{24(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}+\lambda \frac{16(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}\right) c_{1}^{2}\right]
$$

The inequality for $\left|A_{2}\right|$ is obtained by the means of Lemma 2.1. An application of Lemma 2.3 gives the inequality for $\left|A_{3}\right|$. On the other hand, we find the upper bound on $\left|A_{3}-\lambda A_{2}^{2}\right|$ from Lemma 2.2.

Corollary 3.10. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}$ be given by (1.1), and let $f^{-1}$ be the inverse function of $f$ defined by (3.15). Then

$$
\left|A_{2}\right| \leq \frac{8}{\pi^{2}}, \quad\left|A_{3}\right| \leq \frac{8}{3 \pi^{4}}\left(36-\pi^{2}\right)
$$

and for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\left|A_{3}-\lambda A_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{8}{3 \pi^{4}}\left|24 \lambda-36+\pi^{2}\right|, & |h(\lambda)-1| \geq 1 \\ \frac{4}{\pi^{2}}, & |h(\lambda)-1| \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
h(\lambda)=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{24}{\pi^{2}}-\lambda \frac{16}{\pi^{2}} .
$$

## 4. The class $\mathcal{U C} \mathcal{V}(\alpha)$

### 4.1. The logarithmic coefficients.

Theorem 4.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{U C \mathcal { V }}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ be given by (1.1) and let the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (1.7). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\delta_{1}\right| \leq \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}} \\
& \left|\delta_{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}\right), & 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1-\frac{\pi^{2}}{12} \\
\frac{4(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}}, & 1-\frac{\pi^{2}}{12} \leq \alpha<1\end{cases} \\
& \left|\delta_{3}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}}+\frac{16(1-\alpha)^{2}}{3 \pi^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The bounds for $\left|\delta_{1}\right|$ and $\left|\delta_{2}\right|$ are sharp.
Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{U C V}(\alpha)$, then from (1.2), we know that $z f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$. Define the function $g$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider the logarithmic coefficients $\gamma_{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{g}(z):=\log \frac{g(z)}{z}=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{gather*}
\gamma_{1}=\frac{1}{2} d_{2}  \tag{4.3}\\
\gamma_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{3}-\frac{1}{2} d_{2}^{2}\right)  \tag{4.4}\\
\gamma_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{4}-d_{2} d_{3}+\frac{1}{3} d_{2}^{3}\right) . \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

By equating the coefficients of $z^{n}$ reciprocally in (4.1), we get $n a_{n}=d_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, since $g=z f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$, considering the logarithmic
coefficients $\gamma_{n}$ given by (4.2) and using (1.8) - (1.10), the logarithmic coefficients of the function $f \in \mathcal{U C} \mathcal{V}(\alpha)$ are obtained equal to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{1},  \tag{4.6}\\
\delta_{2}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\gamma_{2}+\frac{1}{4} \gamma_{1}^{2}\right), \\
\delta_{3}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\gamma_{3}+\frac{2}{3} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By letting $n=1$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$
\left|\delta_{1}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left|\gamma_{1}\right| \leq \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}
$$

Next, the upper bound of $\left|\delta_{2}\right|$ is obtained by Theorem 3.3 for $\mu=-1 / 4$. So we get

$$
\left|\delta_{2}\right|=\frac{1}{3}\left|\gamma_{2}+\frac{1}{4} \gamma_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\pi^{2}}\right), & 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1-\frac{\pi^{2}}{12} \\ \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}}, & 1-\frac{\pi^{2}}{12} \leq \alpha<1\end{cases}
$$

Finally, for $\left|\delta_{3}\right|$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\delta_{3}\right| & =\frac{1}{4}\left|\gamma_{3}+\frac{2}{3} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left[\left|\gamma_{3}\right|+\frac{2}{3}\left|\gamma_{1}\right|\left|\gamma_{2}\right|\right] \\
& \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}}+\frac{16(1-\alpha)^{2}}{3 \pi^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 4.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{U C V}$ be given by (1.1) and let the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (1.7). Then

$$
\left|\delta_{1}\right| \leq \frac{2}{\pi^{2}}, \quad\left|\delta_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2}{3 \pi^{2}}, \quad\left|\delta_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3 \pi^{2}}+\frac{4}{3 \pi^{4}}
$$

The bounds for $\left|\delta_{1}\right|$ and $\left|\delta_{2}\right|$ are sharp.
Theorem 4.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{U C} \mathcal{V}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ be given by (1.1) and let the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (1.7). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\delta_{2}-\lambda \delta_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{2(1-\alpha)(3 \lambda-1)}{\pi^{2}}\right), & \lambda \leq \frac{1}{3}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{36(1-\alpha)} \\
\frac{4(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}}, & \frac{1}{3}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{36(1-\alpha)} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{3}+\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{36(1-\alpha)}, \\
-\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{2(1-\alpha)(3 \lambda-1)}{\pi^{2}}\right), & \lambda \geq \frac{1}{3}+\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{36(1-\alpha)} .\end{cases} \\
& \text { If } \frac{1}{3}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{36(1-\alpha)} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{3}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{18(1-\alpha)}, \text { then } \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, if $\frac{1}{3}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{18(1-\alpha)} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{3}+\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{36(1-\alpha)}$, then

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\lambda \delta_{1}^{2}\right|+\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{36(1-\alpha)}-\lambda\right)\left|\delta_{1}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}} .
$$

Each of these results is sharp.
Proof. From (4.6), for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$
\delta_{2}-\lambda \delta_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\gamma_{2}+\frac{1-3 \lambda}{4} \gamma_{1}^{2}\right) .
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{2}-\lambda \delta_{1}^{2}\right|=\frac{1}{3}\left|\gamma_{2}-\mu \gamma_{1}^{2}\right|, \quad \mu:=\frac{3 \lambda-1}{4} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Theorem 3.3, it is obtained that

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\lambda \delta_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{2(1-\alpha)(3 \lambda-1)}{\pi^{2}}\right), & \lambda \leq \frac{1}{3}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{36(1-\alpha)} \\ \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}}, & \frac{1}{3}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{36(1-\alpha)} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{3}+\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{36(1-\alpha)} \\ -\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{2(1-\alpha)(3 \lambda-1)}{\pi^{2}}\right), & \lambda \geq \frac{1}{3}+\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{36(1-\alpha)} .\end{cases}
$$

Corollary 4.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{U C V}$ be given by (1.1) and let the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (1.7). Then

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\lambda \delta_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{4}{3 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{3 \lambda-1}{\pi^{2}}\right), & \lambda \leq \frac{1}{3}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{18} \\ \frac{2}{3 \pi^{2}}, & \frac{1}{3}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{18} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{3}+\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{18} \\ -\frac{4}{3 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{3 \lambda-1}{\pi^{2}}\right), & \lambda \geq \frac{1}{3}+\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{18} .\end{cases}
$$

If $\frac{1}{3}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{18} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{3}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{9}$, then

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\lambda \delta_{1}^{2}\right|+\left(\lambda+\frac{\pi^{2}}{18}-\frac{1}{3}\right)\left|\delta_{1}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{2}{3 \pi^{2}}
$$

Furthermore, if $\frac{1}{3}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{9} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{3}+\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{18}$, then

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\lambda \delta_{1}^{2}\right|+\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{18}-\lambda\right)\left|\delta_{1}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{2}{3 \pi^{2}}
$$

Each of these results is sharp.
Theorem 4.5. Let $f \in \mathcal{U C V}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ be given by (1.1) and let the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (1.7). Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\lambda \delta_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{8(1-\alpha)}{9 \pi^{4}}\left|6(1-\alpha)(3 \lambda-1)-\pi^{2}\right|, & \left|\frac{4(1-\alpha)(3 \lambda-1)}{\pi^{2}}-\frac{2}{3}\right| \geq 1 \\ \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{3 \pi^{2}}, & \left|\frac{4(1-\alpha)(3 \lambda-1)}{\pi^{2}}-\frac{2}{3}\right| \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Corollary 4.6. Let $f \in \mathcal{U C V}$ be given by (1.1) and let the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (1.7). Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\lambda \delta_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{4}{9 \pi^{4}}\left|3(3 \lambda-1)-\pi^{2}\right|, & \left|\frac{2(3 \lambda-1)}{\pi^{2}}-\frac{2}{3}\right| \geq 1 \\ \frac{2}{3 \pi^{2}}, & \left|\frac{2(3 \lambda-1)}{\pi^{2}}-\frac{2}{3}\right| \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

### 4.2. Second Hankel determinant.

Theorem 4.7. Let $f \in \mathcal{U C \mathcal { V }}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ be given by (1.1) and let the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (1.7). Then

$$
\left|\delta_{1} \delta_{3}-\delta_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{20(1-\alpha)^{2}}{9 \pi^{4}}+\frac{64(1-\alpha)^{3}}{9 \pi^{6}}
$$

Proof. From (4.6), Theorems 3.1, 3.7, and 3.3 for $\mu=1 / 4$, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\delta_{1} \delta_{3}-\delta_{2}^{2}\right| & =\left|\frac{1}{8}\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{3}-\gamma_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{72} \gamma_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{36} \gamma_{1}^{2}\left(\gamma_{2}-\frac{1}{4} \gamma_{1}^{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{8}\left|\gamma_{1} \gamma_{3}-\gamma_{2}^{2}\right|+\frac{1}{72}\left|\gamma_{2}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{36}\left|\gamma_{1}\right|^{2}\left|\gamma_{2}-\frac{1}{4} \gamma_{1}^{2}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)^{2}}{\pi^{4}}+\frac{2(1-\alpha)^{2}}{9 \pi^{4}}+\frac{64(1-\alpha)^{3}}{9 \pi^{6}} \\
& =\frac{20(1-\alpha)^{2}}{9 \pi^{4}}+\frac{64(1-\alpha)^{3}}{9 \pi^{6}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Corollary 4.8. Let $f \in \mathcal{U C V}$ be given by (1.1) and let the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (1.7). Then

$$
\left|\delta_{1} \delta_{3}-\delta_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{5}{9 \pi^{4}}+\frac{8}{9 \pi^{6}}
$$
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